

35th Conference of Directors of EU PA's in Komotini 9th to 11th of April 2014

Outcome of Workshop 1

Performing administrative and on-the-spot checks in the field of IACS
after 2014: core problems and possible solutions

Rolf Selg (BMEL), Sylvia Grabarse (BLE)



Introduction

- Nearly all elements of IACS are concerned by changes and adaptations in the context of CAP reform.
- During the workshop we discussed issues related to the performing of administrative and on-the-spot-checks in the field of IACS after 2014.

LPIS



LPIS: Outcome

1. Creation of a new layer for EFA by 2018
 - use the existing data-base of the LPIS with all digitized features
 - get additional data from the aid applications, OTSC and other institutions
 - RS may help, to be used where possible
 - Need of series of images of a good quality
 - duty for the PA to update the LPIS permanently
 - Connection between the MS-list of possible elements and burden for PA

LPIS: Outcome

2. Commission's approach to push forward the geo-data based processing of the measures
 - **pros:** can help in reducing error rates and in improving controls, better use of RS, better quality of applications
 - **cons:** farmers have to digitize polygons, many farmers will need help to do this; high costs for implementation
 - **consequences:** support for farmers in the application process is necessary; FAS should be enhanced
 - geo-data based aid application should be optional for MS, transitional period without sanctions should be taken into account

Administrative checks and on-the-spot checks



Controls: Outcome

1. Active farmer

How would you check, whether a given farmer is “active” pursuant to Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013?

- very complex issue due to different systems and farming structures in MS
- Access to commercial and tax related documents required
- Threshold of 5.000 €, farm register and blacklists should be applied

Controls: Outcome

2. Greening

Which control method (classical OTSC or RS) would you consider appropriate to control the different greening obligations?

- Point was discussed intensive
- Range for RS from 0 up to 100 %

Controls: Outcome

3. Number of control visits

How would you carry out on-the-spot-checks in order to limit the control visits per farmer?

- Combine different control populations, e.g. for direct payments and 2nd pillar measures

Controls: Outcome

4. Resources

What could be done, to limit the additional burden for paying agencies caused by administrative and on-the-spot checks without reducing the quality of the checks?
How to deal with limited financial and personnel resources?

- PA will need more resources
- The use of electronic means and procedures should be increased

Conclusion:

- It is a great challenge for all PA and for the farmers to implement the CAP reform.
- PA will need more resources (financial and personnel) for additional administrative and on-the-spot checks and for the LPIS update.
- Farmer will need intensive support and comprehensive information.



35th Conference of Directors of EU PA's in Komotini

Workshop 1: Performing administrative and on-the-spot checks in the field of IACS after 2014: Core problems and possible solutions

Thank you for your attention!

Ευχαριστώ για την προσοχή σας!

